

Message

From: Hladick, Christopher [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=B82D04419C42423A97BD7624A3A09908-HLADICK, CH]
Sent: 1/31/2019 4:24:36 PM
To: Opalski, Dan [Opalski.Dan@epa.gov]
Subject: Re: Would be good to talk

Ok thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 31, 2019, at 8:23 AM, Opalski, Dan <Opalski.Dan@epa.gov> wrote:

Nope. They are only public noticing that we asked for their certification. We won't see a draft of their actual certification until the 2/28 deadline we gave them via the extension.

From: Hladick, Christopher
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2019 8:19 AM
To: Opalski, Dan <Opalski.Dan@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Would be good to talk

So it's on the street?

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 31, 2019, at 8:18 AM, Opalski, Dan <Opalski.Dan@epa.gov> wrote:

Not clear exactly what conditions of their cert will look like. They have described calling for the permittee to provide a water quality plan to work towards standard compliance, and if that means all stds, that would include temperature. As referenced in the article, this apparently is how they have worked through permitting with the (non-federal) dams in other parts of the basin.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 31, 2019, at 8:10 AM, Hladick, Christopher <hladick.christopher@epa.gov> wrote:

Mia never mentioned in our call. I thought temperature was outside of this permit and they would be pushing the boundaries

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 31, 2019, at 7:39 AM, Opalski, Dan <Opalski.Dan@epa.gov> wrote:

Just to keep you posted on my communication efforts with Anna around CR permits issues...the article may end up accelerating our closing of the loop.

From: Opalski, Dan
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2019 7:36 AM

To: Wildeman, Anna <wildeman.anna@epa.gov>
Cc: Sawyers, Andrew <Sawyers.Andrew@epa.gov>;
Gutierrez, Sally <Gutierrez.Sally@epa.gov>; Lidgard,
Michael <Lidgard.Michael@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Would be good to talk

Thanks, Anna. I think I can talk at about 4:15 your time...see below in the meantime a link to a Seattle Times article (not the original impetus for my heads up, but all related)...as far as I know the reporter didn't actually talk to anyone at EPA for the article.

<https://www.seattletimes.com/seattle-news/environment/washington-state-to-regulate-federal-dams-on-columbia-snake-to-cool-hot-water-check-pollution/>

From: Wildeman, Anna
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2019 5:35 AM
To: Opalski, Dan <Opalski.Dan@epa.gov>
Cc: Sawyers, Andrew <Sawyers.Andrew@epa.gov>;
Gutierrez, Sally <Gutierrez.Sally@epa.gov>; Lidgard,
Michael <Lidgard.Michael@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Would be good to talk

Hi Dan,
Happy to talk today. I have time after 4 eastern if that works. Let me know.
Thanks,
Anna

From: Opalski, Dan
Sent: Wednesday, January 30, 2019 6:38 PM
To: Wildeman, Anna <wildeman.anna@epa.gov>
Cc: Sawyers, Andrew <Sawyers.Andrew@epa.gov>;
Gutierrez, Sally <Gutierrez.Sally@epa.gov>; Lidgard,
Michael <Lidgard.Michael@epa.gov>
Subject: Would be good to talk

Anna --

I want to let you know about some activity around out Columbia River permits that is grabbing some attention and you might hear about through your channels. Unfortunately, I couldn't lay my hands on your number. Feel free to call me at any time; my desk number is linked to my cell. Thanks.

Dan Opalski
Director
Office of Water and Watersheds

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900
Seattle, WA 98101
206-553-1855
FAX: 206-553-1280